arXiv:1610.10052v2 [math.CV] 7 Nov 2016

MICROSCOPIC DENSITIES AND FOCK-SOBOLEV SPACES

YACIN AMEUR AND SEONG-MI SEO

Abstract. We study two-dimensional eigenvalue ensembles close to certain types of singular points in the bulk of the droplet. We prove existence of a microscopic density which quickly approaches the equilibrium density, as the distance from the singularity increases beyond the microscopic scale. As a consequence we obtain asymptotics for the Bergman function of certain Fock-Sobolev spaces of entire functions.

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. **Microscopic potential.** Consider a real-valued polynomial $Q_0(z) = Q_0(z, \bar{z})$ where $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We assume that Q_0 is positively homogeneous of even degree $2k$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer. We also assume that Q_0 be positive definite, i.e., $Q_0(z) > 0$ when $z \neq 0$. We finally fix a real parameter $c < 1$ and put

$$V_0(z) = Q_0(z) + 2c \log |z|. \tag{1.1}$$

We call V_0 a *microscopic potential.*

It is convenient to impose the normalizing condition

$$\partial^{2k} Q_0(0) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

In the sequel, we assume that (1.2) holds, except when the opposite is explicitly stated. (We will later add the condition (1.9), which amounts to multiplying Q_0 by a suitable constant.)

Notation. We write $\partial = (\partial_x - i\partial_y)/2$ and $\bar{\partial} = (\partial_x + i\partial_y)/2$ for the complex derivatives, and $\Delta = \partial\bar{\partial}$ for 1/4 times the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{C}$; $dA = dxdy/\pi$ means Lebesgue measure divided by π, $\dot{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is the punctured plane, and $D(p, r)$ is the open disk with center p and radius r.

1.2. **Bergman function.** Consider the measure $d\mu_0 = e^{-V_0}\, dA$ on $\mathbb{C}$, where V_0 is as above (1.1). Let $L^2_a(\mu_0)$ be the Bergman space of all entire functions u such that $\|u\|_{L^2(\mu_0)} < \infty$ where

$$\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mu_0)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |u|^2 \, d\mu_0 = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |u(z)|^2 \, |z|^{-2c} e^{-Q_0(z)} \, dA(z). \tag{1.3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H20; 60B20.

Key words and phrases. Microscopic density; Fock-Sobolev space; Bergman function.

Seo was supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation, SSTF-BA1401-01.

We will write $L_0(z,w)$ for the Bergman kernel in $L^2_a(\mu_0)$. The main object of interest for the present investigation is the *Bergman function* of $L^2_a(\mu_0)$,

$$R_0(z) = L_0(z,z)e^{-V_0(z)}.$$

The formula (1.3) shows that $L^2_a(\mu_0)$ is a kind of Fock-Sobolev space of entire functions, associated with the "generalized Fock-weight" Q_0 and the Sobolev parameter c. Incidentally, related scales of spaces were introduced recently in different contexts, see the papers [10, 11].

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There exists a constant $\alpha = \alpha[Q_0] > 0$ such that*

$$R_0(z) = \Delta Q_0(z) \cdot (1 + O(e^{-\alpha |z|^{2k}})), \qquad as \quad |z| \to \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Together with Theorem 3 below, this generalizes and improves on the asymptotics found in [7, Theorem 4].

Example. For the "Mittag-Leffler potential"

$$V_0 = |z|^{2k} + 2c \log |z|,$$

we have explicitly $R_0(z) = E(|z|^2)e^{-V_0(z)}$ where E is given by

$$E(z) = k \cdot E_{1/k,(1-c)/k}(z), \tag{1.5}$$

where $E_{a,b}$ is the two-parametric Mittag-Leffler function (cf. [3, 14])

$$E_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(aj+b)}.$$

The formula (1.5) can be verified by direct calculation of the orthonormal polynomials e_j of the space $L^2_a(\mu_0)$, since $L_0(z,w) = \sum_0^\infty e_j(z)\bar{e}_j(w)$.

The asymptotic estimate for Mittag-Leffler functions in [14, eq.(4.7.4), p.75] shows that, as $|z| \to \infty$,

$$R_0(z) = k^2 |z|^{2(k-1)} + O(|z|^{-2+2c} e^{-|z|^{2k}}).$$

Hence in this case, (1.4) holds with the sharp error term

$$R_0(z) = \Delta Q_0(z) \cdot (1 + O(|z|^{2(c-k)} e^{-|z|^{2k}})).$$

It is even possible to find an asymptotic expansion for the O-term, see [14].

Remark. Theorem 1 still holds in the case when $\partial^{2k} Q_0(0) = \delta \neq 0$, provided that $|\delta|$ is small enough that Q_0 remains positive definite. Indeed, if $\tilde{Q}_0 = Q_0 - 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\delta z^{2k})$, then $\partial^{2k}\tilde{Q}_0(0) = 0$, so we can apply Theorem 1 to conclude that the Bergman function corresponding to $\tilde{V}_0 := \tilde{Q}_0 + 2c\log|z|$ satisfies $\tilde{R}_0(z) = \Delta Q_0(z) \cdot (1 + O(e^{-\alpha|z|^2}))$ as $|z| \to \infty$. Then, using the isometric isomorphism

$$L^2_a(\tilde{\mu}_0) \to L^2_a(\mu_0) \quad , \quad u(z) \mapsto u(z) \cdot e^{\delta \cdot z^{2k}},$$

one deduces readily that the Bergman kernels pertaining to V_0 and $\tilde{V}_0$ are related via $L_0(z,w) = \tilde{L}_0(z,w)e^{\delta z^{2k}+\bar{\delta}\bar{w}^{2k}}$. Passing to Bergman functions, we conclude that $R_0(z) = \Delta Q_0(z)\cdot(1+O(e^{-\alpha|z|^2}))$ as $|z|\to\infty$, as desired.

1.3. **Microscopic density.** Let Q be a suitable real-valued "potential function", of sufficient growth near ∞. In weighted potential theory (see [23] or [2, Section 6]) one associates with Q the *equilibrium measure*

$$d\sigma = \Delta Q\cdot\chi_S\cdot dA. \tag{1.6}$$

This is the unique compactly supported Borel probability measure which minimizes the weighted logarithmic energy

$$I_Q[\mu] = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{C}^2}\log\frac{1}{|\zeta-\eta|}\,d\mu(\zeta)d\mu(\eta) + \int_{\mathbb{C}} Q\,d\mu.$$

The set $S = \operatorname{supp}\sigma$ in (1.6) is a compact set which one calls the *droplet* in external field Q.

We assume in the following that 0 is in the bulk (interior) of S. We shall also assume that Q is real-analytic in a neighbourhood of 0 and that the Taylor expansion of ΔQ takes the form

$$\Delta Q = P + \text{"higher order terms"},$$

where P is positive definite and homogeneous of degree $2k-2$. Following [7], we write $\tilde{Q}_0$ for the Taylor polynomial of degree $2k$ of Q about 0 and put $Q_1 = Q - \tilde{Q}_0$. We then introduce functions H and Q_0 by

$$H(\zeta) := Q(0) + 2\partial Q(0)\zeta + \cdots + \frac{2}{(2k)!}\partial^{2k}Q(0)\zeta^{2k}, \quad Q_0 := \tilde{Q}_0 - H.$$

Then we have

$$Q = Q_0 + \operatorname{Re} H + Q_1, \tag{1.7}$$

where Q_0 is homogeneous of degree $2k$ and $Q_1 = O(|\zeta|^{2k+1})$ as $\zeta\to 0$. We refer to (1.7) as the *canonical decomposition* of the potential Q about 0.

Let S_0 be the component of the droplet S containing 0, and let G_0 be the Green's function with pole at 0,

$$G_0(\zeta) = 2\log|\zeta| + 2h(\zeta),$$

where h is harmonic in S_0 and $G_0 = 0$ on the boundary. (By convention, $G_0 = 0$ outside of S_0.)

We finally pick $c < 1$ and form the n-dependent potential

$$V_n(\zeta) = Q(\zeta) + (c/n)G_0(\zeta).$$

Let σ_n be the measure $d\sigma_n = \Delta V_n\cdot\chi_S\,dA$. We define the *microscopic scale* r_n at 0 to be the radius so that ([1])

$$n\sigma_n(D(0,r_n)) = 1.$$

[1] r_n was called "mesoscopic scale" in our paper [7]; we here follow the paper [9].

Note that the microscopic scale r_n is set up so that

$$c + n \int_{D(0,r_n)} \Delta Q \, dA = 1.$$

Hence, since $\Delta Q = (1 + O(n^{-1/2k}))\Delta Q_0$ on $D(0, r_n)$,

$$r_n = \tau_0 (1-c)^{1/2k} n^{-1/2k} (1 + O(n^{-1/2k})), \quad (n \to \infty),$$

where τ_0 is the "modulus" at the point 0, i.e., the positive number such that

$$\tau_0^{-2k} = \frac{1}{2\pi k} \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta Q_0(e^{i\theta}) \, d\theta.$$

Multiplying Q by a suitable constant, we can assume that

$$n r_n^{2k} = 1. \tag{1.8}$$

We shall assume *throughout* that (1.8) holds.

Remark. In the limit as $n \to \infty$, the normalization (1.8) corresponds to

$$\int_{|z|\le 1} \Delta V_0 \, dA = 1, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi k} \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta Q_0(e^{i\theta}) \, d\theta = 1 - c. \tag{1.9}$$

Write $d\mu_n = e^{-nV_n} \, dA$ and denote by $\mathscr{P}_n$ be the subspace of $L^2_a(\mu_n)$ consisting of polynomials of degree at most $n-1$. We will denote by $\mathbf{k}_n$ the reproducing kernel for the space $\mathscr{P}_n$. We also put

$$\mathbf{R}_n(\zeta) = \mathbf{k}_n(\zeta, \zeta) e^{-nV_n(\zeta)}$$

and speak of the n:th *one-point function* in external field V_n. The function $\mathbf{R}_n$ should be thought of as the density of measure $\mathbf{R}_n \, dA$.

We now rescale on the microscopic scale about the point 0 by

$$z = r_n^{-1} \zeta.$$

Write $R_n(z)$ for the *rescaled 1-point function*, i.e.,

$$R_n(z) = r_n^2 \mathbf{R}_n(\zeta).$$

The family $\{R_n\}$ has a useful compactness property, given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Each subsequence of the sequence $\{R_n\}$ has a further subsequence which converges in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{C}$ and locally uniformly on $\dot{\mathbb{C}}$ to a function R.*

We will refer to a limit $R = \lim R_{n_k}$ in Theorem 2 as a *microscopic density* or briefly a *micro-density* (called "limiting one-point function" in [4, 5, 7]). We have the following result.

Theorem 3. *There exists a constant $\alpha = \alpha[Q, 0] > 0$ such that each micro-density R at 0 satisfies*

$$R(z) = \Delta Q_0(z) \cdot (1 + O(e^{-\alpha |z|^{2k}})) \quad \textit{as} \quad z \to \infty.$$

Proof that Theorem 3 implies Theorem 1. We shall show in Section 4 that each Bergman function R_0 in Theorem 1 appears as a micro-density R in Theorem 3, i.e. $R = R_0$. (See Theorem 4.8 below.) To prove Theorem 1 it thus suffices to appeal to the asymptotics in Theorem 3. □

Remark. Our proof shows in addition that $R \leq R_0$, and that we have the estimate $R_0(z) \leq C|z|^{-2c'}$ for all z in a neighbourhood of 0, where c' is the fractional part of c.

Remark. It will be advantageous to absorb the harmonic part h of the Green's function into the potential, in the following way. Let $Q_n = Q + 2ch/n$, i.e., $V_n(\zeta) = Q_n(\zeta) + 2(c/n)\log|\zeta|$. Let $h = h_1 + h_2$ where h_1 is the Taylor polynomial of degree $2k$ about 0. The canonical decomposition (1.7) then has the n-dependent counterpart $Q_n = Q_0 + \operatorname{Re} H_n + Q_{1,n}$, where $H_n = H + 2ch_1/n$ and $Q_{1,n} = Q_1 + 2ch_2/n$.

1.4. **Geometry.** We now explain the roles played by the polynomial Q_0 and the parameter c appearing in the microscopic potential V_0 (1.1). Recall that $2k = \operatorname{degree} Q_0$.

We consider the droplet as a Riemann surface with n-dependent conformal metric

$$ds_n^2(\zeta) = e^{-nV_n(\zeta)}\,|d\zeta|^2.$$

Rescaling about the bulk point 0 on the microscopic scale, one is led to consider the microscopic version $ds^2(z) = e^{-V_0(z)}\,|dz|^2$.

In this context, the origin is a:

(a) *regular bulk point* if $k = 1$ and $c = 0$,
(b) *bulk singularity caused by vanishing equilibrium density* if $k \geq 2$,
(c) *conical singularity* (with total angle $2\pi(1-c)$) if $c \neq 0$.

Note that one can superpose the types (b) and (c), thus obtaining "mixed type" singularities.

We refer to [15, 19] and the references there for more on the geometry and physics of conical singularities.



FIGURE 1. The Bergman function R_0 as a function of positive reals, for $V_0 = 2|z|^2 - 2\log|z|$, and for $V_0 = |z|^2/2 + \log|z|$ (conical singularity with total angle 4π and π, respectively).

Further comments. This note was motivated by applications to existence and uniqueness of point fields, which arise from random normal matrix models, when rescaling about a singularity in the bulk. The detailed analysis (in particular, the uniqueness) will be given in the paper [6], cf. also [7]. A related topic, "conformal field theory in the presence of singularities", is currently under investigation as well, [18], cf. also [17].

Singularities caused by vanishing equilibrium density were studied in [4, 7]. Conical singularities appear in the paper [19]. Both types of singularities are implicit in [3].

Asymptotics for the Bergman function is related to questions of sampling and interpolation in spaces of entire functions (the space $L^2_a(\mu_0)$ in our case). This topic has been subjected to several investigations in the case of the classical Fock spaces F^p_α; see [24] and the references there. In [13, Section 5], it is observed that asymptotics for the Bergman function should play a role in the analysis for non-standard weights, such as the ones considered here. (Cf. also [22] in this connection.)

A quite general result on sampling and interpolation was obtained by different methods in [21], under the condition that ΔV_0 be a doubling measure. By slight contrast, however, the measure ΔV_0 is not necessarily positive in our setting.

The existence of a micro-density at a suitable moving point (in the boundary regime of the droplet) was shown in the papers [4, 5]. This density is there analyzed under the (rather physically obvious) assumption of translation invariance.

Our results have applications to the distribution of Fekete points in external field V_n, close to a singular point 0 in the bulk (compare [2]).

It seems natural to ask to what extent our results generalize Fock-Sobolev spaces in several complex variables. To this end, we want to mention the references [1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 20].

Auxiliary notation. Write $\bar z = z^*$ for the complex conjugate of z. A continuous function $h(z,w)$ is called *Hermitian* if $h(z,w) = h(w,z)^*$. h is called *Hermitian-analytic* (*Hermitian-entire*) if h is Hermitian and analytic (entire) in z and $\bar w$. A Hermitian function $c(z,w)$ is called a *cocycle* if there is a unimodular function g such that $c(z,w) = g(z)\bar g(w)$, where for functions we use the notation $\bar f(z) = f(z)^*$. We write $\mathrm{Pol}(n)$ for the linear space of analytic polynomials of degree at most $n-1$.

2. A preliminary estimate

This section gives a slight improvement of the asymptotic analysis for the Bergman function in [7, Section 5]. In the next section, we will slightly reinterpret the discussion and thus obtain good estimates for micro-densities.

Let $Q_0(z,w)$ be the Hermitian-analytic polynomial such that $Q_0(z,z) = Q_0(z)$. Also fix $c < 1$ and define

$$V_0(z,w) = Q_0(z,w) + c\log|z| + c\log|w|.$$

We define an "approximate kernel" $L_0^\sharp$ by

$$L_0^\sharp(z,w) = \left[\partial_z \bar\partial_w V_0\right](z,w)\cdot e^{\,V_0(z,w)} = \left[\partial_z \bar\partial_w Q_0\right](z,w)\cdot e^{\,V_0(z,w)}.$$

Write $L_z^\sharp(w)$ for $L_0^\sharp(w,z)$ and, for suitable functions u,

$$\pi^\sharp u(z) = \langle u, L_z^\sharp\rangle_{L^2(\mu_0)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} u\bar L_z^\sharp \, d\mu_0,$$

where

$$d\mu_0(w) = e^{-V_0(w)}\, dA(w).$$

Here $V_0(w) = V_0(w,w)$, see (1.1).

Below we fix a point $z \neq 0$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a constant $m < 1$ depending only on Q_0 such that if $|1 - w/z| < m$ then*

$$2\,\mathrm{Re}\, V_0(z,w) \le V_0(z) + V_0(w) - \gamma|z|^{2k-2}|w-z|^2$$

where γ is a positive constant.

Proof. If $|1 - w/z| < 1$ then

$$2\,\mathrm{Re}\, V_0(z,w) - V_0(z) - V_0(w) = 2\,\mathrm{Re}\, Q_0(z,w) - Q_0(z) - Q_0(w),$$

since the logarithms cancel. The proof now follows by Taylor's formula, as in [7, Lemma 5.1]. □

Given z with $z \neq 0$ we will consider the annular region consisting of all w such that

$$\tilde\rho < |w - z| < \rho,$$

where $\tilde\rho = m|z|/2$ and $\rho = m|z|$, m being a positive number as in the above lemma. We fix a smooth cut-off function χ_z satisfying $\chi_z = 1$ when $|z-w| \le \tilde\rho$, $\chi_z = 0$ when $|z-w| \ge \rho$, and $\|\bar\partial\chi_z\|_{L^2} \le \text{const}$.

Recall that $L_a^2(\mu_0)$ denotes the Bergman space of entire functions associated to μ_0; L_0 is the Bergman kernel of that space.

The following lemma improves the error term obtained in [7, Lemma 5.2].

Lemma 2.2. *There is a constant C depending only on m such that for all functions $u \in L_a^2(\mu_0)$ and all $z \neq 0$ we have*

$$\Big|\, u(z) - \pi^\sharp[\chi_z u](z)\,\Big| \le C|z|^{1-k}\| u \|_{L^2(\mu_0)}\, e^{\,V_0(z)}.$$

Proof. The definition of $L_z^\sharp$ is set up so that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^\sharp[\chi_z u](z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_z(w)u(w)\left[\partial_1\bar\partial_2 V_0\right](z,w)\cdot e^{\,V_0(z,w)-V_0(w)}\, dA(w)\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{u(w)\chi_z(w)F(z,w)}{w-z}\bar\partial_w\left[e^{\,V_0(z,w)-V_0(w)}\right] dA(w),\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$F(z,w) = \frac{(w-z)\left[\partial_1\bar\partial_2 V_0\right](z,w)}{\bar\partial_2 V_0(w,w) - \bar\partial_2 V_0(z,w)}.$$

Note that in this formula, "V_0" can be replaced by "Q_0". Hence, by the proof of [7, Lemma 5.2], F obeys

$$F(z,w) = 1 + O(w-z), \quad \bar\partial_w F(z,w) = O(w-z), \quad (w \to z). \tag{2.2}$$

To apply this, we integrate by parts in the identity (2.1), with the result that

$$\pi^\sharp[\chi_z u](z) = u(z) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$$

where

$$\epsilon_1 = \int \frac{u(w)\cdot \bar\partial\chi_z(w)\cdot F(z,w)}{w-z} e^{V_0(z,w)-V_0(w)}\, dA(w),$$
$$\epsilon_2 = \int \frac{u(w)\cdot \chi_z(w)\cdot \bar\partial_w F(z,w)}{w-z} e^{V_0(z,w)-V_0(w)}\, dA(w).$$

By the estimates (2.2) and the fact that $\bar\partial\chi_z(w) = 0$ when $|w-z| \le \tilde\rho$ we obtain that

$$|\epsilon_1| \le C\tilde\rho^{-1} \int_{|w-z|\ge\tilde\rho} |u(w)|\, \big|\bar\partial\chi_z(w)\big|\, e^{\operatorname{Re} V_0(z,w)-V_0(w)}\, dA(w),$$
$$|\epsilon_2| \le C \int \chi_z(w)\, |u(w)|\, e^{\operatorname{Re} V_0(z,w)-V_0(w)}\, dA(w).$$

For w in the support of χ_z we have, by Lemma 2.1, that

$$e^{\operatorname{Re} V_0(z,w)-V_0(w)/2} \le C e^{V_0(z)/2-\gamma|z|^{2k-2}|z-w|^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}|\epsilon_1|\, e^{-V_0(z)/2} &\le C\tilde\rho^{-1} e^{-\gamma\tilde\rho(z)^2} \int |u(w)|\, \big|\bar\partial\chi_z(w)\big|\, e^{-V_0(w)/2}\, dA(w)\\ &\le C'|z|^{-1} e^{-\gamma(m^2/4)|z|} \|u\|_{L^2(\mu_0)} \|\bar\partial\chi_z\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}|\epsilon_2| e^{-V_0(z)/2} &\le C\|u\|_{L^2(\mu_0)} \left(\int e^{-2\gamma|z|^{2k-2}|w-z|^2} dA(w)\right)^{1/2}\\ &\le C_1|z|^{1-k}\|u\|_{L^2(\mu_0)},\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\int e^{-t|w|^2}\, dA(w) = t^{-1}$ for $t > 0$. This finishes the proof since $|z|^{-1}e^{-\gamma m^2|z|/4} = o(|z|^{1-k})$ as $z \to \infty$. □

Remark. Using Lemma 2.2, one can argue as in [7] to prove, for example, the asymptotic estimate $R_0(z) = \Delta Q_0(z)(1 + O(|z|^{1-k}))$ as $|z| \to \infty$. However, in the following sections, we will prove stricter estimates by using a corresponding micro-density R.

3. Asymptotics for micro-densities

We now prove Theorem 3, using an argument inspired by [4, Section 5].

Recall first the form of the n-dependent potential

$$V_n(\zeta) = Q(\zeta) + \frac{2c}{n}\log|\zeta| + \frac{2c}{n}h(\zeta), \tag{3.1}$$

where h is harmonic in a neighbourhood of 0. We denote $d\mu_n = e^{-nV_n}\,dA$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\delta = m'|\zeta|$ where $m' < 1$ and put $D_\delta = D(\zeta,\delta)$ where we assume $r_n \le |\zeta| \le r_n \log n$. There are then constants C and $\nu' > 0$ such that*

$$|u(\zeta)|^2\, e^{-nV_n(\zeta)} \le C(m'|\zeta|)^{-2} e^{\nu' m' n|\zeta|^{2k}} \|u\|^2_{L^2(\mu_n)}.$$

The constants C and ν' can moreover be chosen independent of m'.

Proof. Consider the function $F(\omega) = |u(\omega)|^2\, e^{-nV_n(\omega)+na|\omega|^2}$, where $a > \sup_{D_\delta}\Delta Q$. Clearly, F is (logarithmically) subharmonic in D_δ. Moreover, since $\Delta Q = (1+o(1))\Delta Q_0$ on D_δ, we may choose a proportional to $|\zeta|^{2k-2}$. (The last assertion follows from the homogeneity of ΔQ_0.)

The sub-mean property now gives that, for some constant $c' = c'[Q_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned}|u(\zeta)|^2\, e^{-nV_n(\zeta)} &\le C\frac{1}{\delta^2}\int_{D_\delta} |u(\omega)|^2\, e^{-nV_n(\omega)} e^{c' n|\zeta|^{2k-2}(|\omega|^2-|\zeta|^2)}\, dA(\omega)\\ &\le C' e^{3c'm'n|\zeta|^{2k}}\frac{1}{\delta^2}\int_{D_\delta}|u(\omega)|^2\, e^{-nV_n(\omega)}\, dA(\omega).\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. □

Fix a point ζ in the annular region $r_n \le |\zeta| \le r_n \log n$. For a small enough positive constant m with $m < 1$ we define $\tilde\rho = m|\zeta|/2$ and $\rho = m|\zeta|$.

We also fix a smooth function ψ with $\psi = 1$ in $D(0,\tilde\rho)$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $D(0,\rho)$. Fix a function $\chi_\zeta = \chi_{\zeta,n}$ with $\chi(\omega) = 1$ when $|\omega-\zeta| \le \tilde\rho$, $\chi(\omega) = 0$ when $|\omega-\zeta| \ge \rho$ and $\|\bar\partial\chi_\zeta\|_{L^2} \le C$.

Now write $Q_n(\eta) = Q(\eta) + 2ch(\eta)/n$. Let $Q_n(\eta,\omega)$ be a Hermitian-analytic function defined in a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2$, satisfying $Q_n(\eta,\eta) = Q_n(\eta)$. We shall write

$$V_n(\eta,\omega) = Q_n(\eta,\omega) + \frac{c}{n}(\log|\eta| + \log|\omega|).$$

Hence $V_n(\eta,\eta) = V_n(\eta)$, see (3.1).

The idea is to apply the definition of the approximating kernel from the preceding section, but with "V_0" replaced by "nV_n". We denote the resulting kernel by $\mathbf{L}^\sharp_n$, viz.

$$\mathbf{L}^\sharp_n(\zeta,\eta) = n\,\partial_1\bar\partial_2 V_n(\zeta,\eta)\cdot e^{\,nV_n(\zeta,\eta)}.$$

The corresponding "approximate projection" $\pi^\sharp_n$ is defined, for suitable functions u, by

$$\pi^\sharp_n u(\zeta) = \langle u, \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta\rangle_{L^2(\mu_n)}, \quad d\mu_n(\eta) = e^{-nV_n(\eta)}\,dA(\eta),$$

where, for convenience, we write $\mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta$ instead of $\mathbf{L}^\sharp_{n,\zeta}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that u is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of ζ. When $r_n \le |\,\zeta\,| \le r_n \log n$, we have the estimate*

$$\Big|\, u(\zeta) - \pi_n^\sharp[\chi_\zeta u](\zeta)\,\Big| \le M_n(\zeta) \| \,u\,\|_{L^2(\mu_n)} e^{nV_n(\zeta)/2},$$

where

$$M_n(\zeta) = C(n^{-1/2}|\zeta|^{1-k} + |\zeta|^{-1} e^{-\nu n|\zeta|^{2k}}).$$

Here C, ν are positive constants.

Proof. It will be sufficient to indicate how the proof of Lemma 2.2 is modified in the present setting. We start as earlier, by writing

$$\pi_n^\sharp[\chi_\zeta u](\zeta) = -\int \frac{u(\omega)\chi_\zeta(\omega) F_n(\zeta,\omega)}{\omega-\zeta} \bar\partial_\omega \left[e^{-n(V_n(\omega,\omega)-V_n(\zeta,\omega))} \right] dA(\omega),$$

where

$$F_n(\zeta,\omega) = \frac{(\omega-\zeta)\partial_1\bar\partial_2 V_n(\zeta,\omega)}{\bar\partial_2 V_n(\omega,\omega) - \bar\partial_2 V_n(\zeta,\omega)}.$$

Recall that $V_n(\zeta,\omega) = Q_n(\zeta,\omega) + \frac{c}{n}(\log|\zeta| + \log|\omega|)$. In the above expression for F_n it is possible to replace Q_n by Q_0 to negligible terms. Indeed, Taylor's formula gives that

$$\begin{cases} \bar\partial_2 Q_n(\omega,\omega) - \bar\partial_2 Q_n(\zeta,\omega) & = \Delta Q_0(\omega)(\omega-\zeta)(1+O(r_n\log n)) \\ & \qquad\qquad +O(\,(\omega-\zeta)^{\,2}\,), \\ \partial_1\bar\partial_2 Q_n(\zeta,\omega) & = \partial_1\bar\partial_2 Q_0(\zeta,\omega)(1+O(r_n\log n)), \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

when $r_n \le |\,\zeta\,| \le r_n \log n$ and $|\,\omega-\zeta\,| \le \rho$.

From (3.2) and the form of F_n, one checks easily that

$$F_n(\zeta,\omega) = 1 + O(\zeta-\omega), \quad \bar\partial_2 F_n(\zeta,\omega) = O(\omega-\zeta), \quad (\omega\to\zeta). \tag{3.3}$$

We can now write $\pi_n^\sharp u(\zeta) = u(\zeta) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ where

$$\epsilon_1 = \int \frac{u(\omega)\cdot\bar\partial\chi_\zeta(\omega)\cdot F_n(\zeta,\omega)}{\omega-\zeta} e^{n(V_n(\zeta,\omega)-V_n(\omega))}\, dA(\omega),$$

$$\epsilon_2 = \int \frac{u(\omega)\cdot\chi_\zeta(\omega)\cdot\bar\partial_2 F_n(\zeta,\omega)}{\omega-\zeta} e^{n(V_n(\zeta,\omega)-V_n(\omega))}\, dA(\omega).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that, for $|\,\omega-\zeta\,| \le \rho$,

$$e^{n(\mathrm{Re}\, V_n(\zeta,\omega)-V_n(\omega)/2)} \le C e^{\,nV_n(\zeta)/2 - \gamma n|\zeta|^{2k-2}|\zeta-\omega|^2}, \tag{3.4}$$

where γ is a positive constant. Inserting the estimates in (3.3) and (3.4), using also that $\bar\partial\chi_\zeta(w) = 0$ when $|\,\zeta-\omega\,| \le \tilde\rho = m|\zeta|/2$, we find that (with a suitable $\nu > 0$)

$$|\,\epsilon_1\,| e^{-nV_n(\zeta)/2} \le C|\zeta|^{-1} e^{-\nu n|\zeta|^{2k}} \int |\,u(\omega)\,|\,|\,\bar\partial\chi_\zeta(\omega)\,| e^{-nV_n(\omega)/2}\, dA(\omega),$$

$$|\,\epsilon_2\,| e^{-nV_n(\zeta)/2} \le C \int \chi_\zeta(\omega)\,|\,u(\omega)\,|\, e^{-nV_n(\omega)/2 - \gamma n|\zeta|^{2k-2}|\zeta-\omega|^2}\, dA(\omega).$$

Estimating the right hand sides by means of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, using that $\int e^{-t|w|^2}\, dA(w) = t^{-1}$, we conclude that

$$(|\,\epsilon_1\,| + |\,\epsilon_2\,|)e^{-nV_n(\zeta)/2} \le C(|\zeta|^{-1}e^{-\nu n|\zeta|^{2k}} + n^{-1/2}|\zeta|^{1-k})\|\,u\,\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Choosing $u(\eta) = \mathbf{k}_n(\eta,\zeta)$ in Lemma 3.2, where $\mathbf{k}_n$ is the Bergman kernel for the polynomial subspace $\mathscr{P}_n$ of $L^2(\mu_n)$, one obtains after a short calculation the following estimate, valid when $r_n \le |\,\zeta\,| \le r_n \log n$:

$$\left|\, \mathbf{k}_n(\zeta,\zeta) - \pi_n\left[\chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta\right](\zeta)\,\right| \le M_n(\zeta)\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_n(\zeta,\zeta)}\cdot e^{\,nV_n(\zeta)/2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Here $\pi_n : L^2(\mu_n) \to \mathscr{P}_n$ is the orthogonal projection, i.e.,

$$\pi_n u(\zeta) = \langle u, \mathbf{k}_{n,\zeta}\rangle_{L^2(\mu_n)}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For all ζ in the annulus $r_n \le |\,\zeta\,| \le \log n \cdot r_n$ we have the estimate*

$$\left|\, \pi_n\left[\chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta\right](\zeta) - n\Delta Q(\zeta)\, e^{\,nV_n(\zeta)}\,\right| \le N_n(\zeta)\, e^{\,nV_n(\zeta)}$$

where

$$N_n(\zeta) = C\sqrt{n}|\,\zeta\,|^{\,k-2}e^{-dn|\zeta|^{2k}}.$$

Here C, d are positive constants.

Proof. Let $u = \chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta - \pi_n\left[\chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta\right]$ be the norm-minimal solution in $L^2(\mu_n)$ to the problem $\bar\partial u = \bar\partial f$ where $f = \chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta$.

By the standard technique with Hörmander estimates, as in the proof of [7, Lemma 6.2], one verifies without difficulty that there is an element $v_0 \in L^2(\mu_n)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \bar\partial v_0 = \bar\partial(\chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta), \quad v_0 - \chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta \in \mathrm{Pol}(n), \\ \|\,v_0\,\|_{L^2(\mu_n)} \le Cn^{-1/2}|\zeta|^{1-k}\left\|\,\bar\partial\left[\chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta\right]\,\right\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}. \end{cases}$$

The norm-minimality of u then implies

$$\|\,u\,\|_{L^2(\mu_n)} \le Cn^{-1/2}|\zeta|^{1-k}\left\|\,\bar\partial\left[\chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta\right]\,\right\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}. \tag{3.6}$$

(To see that the proof in [7] goes through, it suffices to note that the weight V_n is subharmonic and smooth on the support of the cut-off function χ_ζ.)

By Lemma 2.1 we have when $r_n \le |\,\zeta\,| \le r_n \cdot \log n$ and $|\,\omega - \zeta\,| \le m|\zeta|$ the estimate

$$e^{\mathrm{Re}\, nV_n(\zeta,\omega) - nV_n(\omega)/2} \le Ce^{nV_n(\zeta)/2\, - \gamma n|\zeta|^{2k-2}\,|\,\omega-\zeta\,|^{\,2}/2}.$$

Using the form of $\bar\partial u$, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\,\bar\partial u(\omega)\,|^{\,2}e^{-nV_n(\omega)} &= |\bar\partial\chi_\zeta(\omega)|^2 n^2 |\partial_1\bar\partial_2 Q_n(\zeta,w)|^2 e^{n(2\,\mathrm{Re}\, V_n(\zeta,\omega) - V_n(\omega))} \\ &\le C(n\Delta Q_0(\zeta))^{\,2}|\,\bar\partial\chi_\zeta(\omega)\,|^{\,2}e^{nV_n(\zeta) - \gamma(m/2)^2 n|\zeta|^{2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the homogeneity of ΔQ_0 this gives the estimate

$$\| \bar\partial(\chi_\zeta \mathbf{L}^\sharp_\zeta) \|_{L^2(\mu_n)} \le Cn \, | \, \zeta \, |^{\, 2k-2} \, e^{-\nu n |\zeta|^{2k}} e^{nV_n(\zeta)/2},$$

with a suitable $\nu > 0$. Applying (3.6), we now get

$$\| \, u \, \|_{L^2(\mu_n)} \le C\sqrt{n} | \, \zeta \, |^{\, k-1} e^{-\nu n |\zeta|^{2k}} e^{nV_n(\zeta)/2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Choosing $m'\nu' \le \nu$ in Lemma 3.1 and applying the estimate in (3.7), we infer that

$$|u(\zeta)| \, e^{-nV_n(\zeta)/2} \le C\sqrt{n} |\zeta|^{k-1} e^{nV_n(\zeta)/2} \cdot |\zeta|^{-1} e^{-dn|\zeta|^{2k}},$$

where $d = \nu - m'\nu'/2$ is a (new) positive constant. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take ζ with $r_n \le | \, \zeta \, | \le \log n \cdot r_n$. By the estimate (3.5) and Lemma 3.3 we have for all large n that

$$| \, \mathbf{R}_n(\zeta) - n\Delta Q_0(\zeta) \, | \le M_n(\zeta) \sqrt{\mathbf{R}_n(\zeta)} + N_n(\zeta).$$

Multiplying through by r_n^2 and writing $R_n(z) = r_n^2 \, \mathbf{R}_n(\zeta)$, $z = r_n^{-1}\zeta$, we get

$$| \, R_n(z) - \Delta Q_0(z) \, | \le r_n M_n(r_n z) \sqrt{R_n(z)} + r_n^2 N_n(r_n z).$$

A calculation shows that

$$\begin{cases} r_n M_n(r_n z) & = C(r_n^2 |z|^{1-k} + |z|^{-1} e^{-\nu |z|^{2k}}), \\ r_n^2 N_n(r_n z) & = C|z|^{k-2} e^{-d|z|^{2k}}. \end{cases}$$

Letting $R = \lim R_{n_k}$ be a limiting 1-point function, we get for $|z| \ge 1$ (assuming that $d \le \nu$)

$$|R(z) - \Delta Q_0(z)| \le (C_1 |z|^{-1} \sqrt{R(z)} + C_2 |z|^{k-2}) e^{-d|z|^{2k}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Let M be a large constant, and assume that

$$|R(z) - \Delta Q_0(z)| \ge M \Delta Q_0(z) e^{-d|z|^{2k}/2}, \quad (|z| \ge C), \tag{3.9}$$

where C is large. Then (3.8) gives

$$R(z) \ge M' e^{d|z|^{2k}}$$

where $M' = M'(C, M)$ is a new constant. However, by the estimate of Theorem 4.5 below, we have the bound

$$R(z) \le B|z|^{4k-2}, \qquad (|z| \ge 1).$$

This contradiction shows that the assumption (3.9) must be false when M is large enough, i.e., we have shown that

$$R(z) = \Delta Q_0(z)(1 + O(e^{-\alpha |z|^{2k}})), \quad (|z| \to \infty),$$

where $\alpha = d/2$. □

4. Construction of micro-densities

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 on the existence of micro-densities. In fact, we shall prove a somewhat more precise statement, comprising the apriori bounds which were used in our proof of Theorem 3.

Towards this end, let $Q_n = Q_0 + \operatorname{Re} H_n + Q_{1,n}$ be the canonical decomposition of $Q_n = Q + 2ch/n$ at 0. We consider, as before, the potential

$$V_n(\zeta) = Q_n(\zeta) + \frac{2c}{n} \log |\zeta| \,,$$

where $c < 1$ and h is harmonic near 0. We also assume that the microscopic scale r_n satisfies (1.9), i.e., $nr_n^{2k} = 1$. Recall the notation $d\mu_n = e^{-nV_n}\, dA$.

4.1. **Limiting kernels.** We introduce the rescaled potential $\tilde{V}_n$ by

$$\tilde{V}_n(z) = nV_n(r_n z),$$

and accordingly we define the measure $d\tilde{\mu}_n = e^{-\tilde{V}_n} dA$. Observe that the reproducing kernel k_n for the subspace $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}_n = \operatorname{Pol}(n) \subset L^2_a(\tilde{\mu}_n)$ is given by

$$k_n(z, w) = r_n^2 \mathbf{k}_n(\zeta, \eta), \quad \zeta = r_n z,\, \eta = r_n w, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbf{k}_n$ is the reproducing kernel for $\mathscr{P}_n$ (see Section 1.3).

We are heading for a normal families argument, based on estimates for the function $k_n(z, z)$. For this purpose, we now prove two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $0 \le c < 1$. Then for each $T \ge 1$ there is a constant $C = C(T)$ such that for all $u \in L^2_a(\tilde{\mu}_n)$ we have*

$$|u(z)|^2\, e^{-nQ_n(r_n z)} \le C r_n^{2c} \, \|u\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{\mu}_n)} \,, \quad |z| \le T. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, there is a constant B independent of T such that

$$C(T) \le B T^{4k+2c-2}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. In the following, we write $D_r = \{|z| \le r\}$ and $\dot{D}_r = D_r \setminus \{0\}$. Fix a number δ with $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and choose α so that

$$\alpha > \sup\{\Delta Q_0(z)\,;\, z \in D_{T+\delta}\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that we can choose α proportional to T^{2k-2}.

Now consider the function

$$F_n(z) = |u(z)|^2\, e^{-\tilde{V}_n(z) + \alpha |z|^2}.$$

For $z \in \dot{D}_{T+\delta}$ we have

$$\Delta \tilde{V}_n(z) = \Delta Q_0(z) + O(r_n), \quad (n \to \infty)$$

so for n large enough we will have $\Delta \tilde{V}_n < \alpha$ on $\dot{D}_{T+\delta}$, by (4.4). It follows that F_n is subharmonic on $\dot{D}_{T+\delta}$. We will use this to estimate the left hand side of (4.2) for a fixed $z \in D_T$. This will be done separately, in the two cases (i) $\delta \le |z| \le T$, and (ii) $|z| < \delta$.

First assume that $\delta \le |z| \le T$ and write

$$|u(z)|^2\, e^{-\tilde{V}_n(z)+\alpha|z|^2} \le 4\,\delta^{-2} \int_{D(z,\delta/2)} |u(w)|^2\, e^{-\tilde{V}_n(w)+\alpha|w|^2} dA(w).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)|^2\, e^{-nQ_n(r_n z)} &\le 4\delta^{-2} e^{\alpha\delta|z|+\alpha\delta^2/4+2c\log|r_n z|} \\ &\quad \times \int_{D(z,\delta/2)} |u(w)|^2\, e^{-\tilde{V}_n(w)}\, dA(w) \\ &\le C_1 r_n^{2c}\, \|u\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{\mu}_n)}\,, \end{aligned}$$

proving (4.2) when $\delta \le |z| \le T$. We now choose $\delta = T^{1-2k}$ and note that, if $\alpha \le bT^{2k-2}$, then the constant C_1 is at most (for $|z| \le T$)

$$4T^{4k-2} e^{b(1+T^{-2k}/4)+2c\log T} = BT^{4k+2c-2},$$

say.

Now suppose $|z| < \delta$, where at this stage $\delta = T^{1-2k}$. Since the function $|u(z)|^2\, e^{-nQ_n(r_n z)+\alpha|z|^2}$ is subharmonic everywhere on $D_{T+\delta}$ by (4.4), it follows that

$$|u(z)|^2\, e^{-nQ(r_n z)+\alpha|z|^2} \le \delta^{-2} \int_{D(z,\delta)} |u(w)|^2\, e^{-nQ_n(r_n w)+\alpha|w|^2}\, dA(w).$$

Then for $|z| < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)|^2 e^{-nQ_n(r_n z)} &\le \delta^{-2}\, e^{\alpha(2|z|\delta+\delta^2)} \int_{D(z,\delta)} |u(w)|^2\, e^{-nQ_n(r_n w)}\, dA(w) \\ &\le \delta^{-2}\, e^{\alpha(2|z|\delta+\delta^2)} \int_{D(z,\delta)} |u(w)|^2\, e^{-nQ_n(r_n w)-2c\log|w|}\, dA(w) \\ &= \delta^{-2} e^{\alpha(2|z|\delta+\delta^2)} r_n^{2c}\, \|u\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{\mu}_n)}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta^{-2} e^{\alpha(2|z|\delta+\delta^2)} \le T^{4k-2} e^{3\alpha T^{2-4k}} \le BT^{4k+2c-2}$. □

We now drop the requirement that $c \ge 0$ and write $c = -q + c'$ where q is a non-negative integer and $0 \le c' < 1$. The previous lemma has the following generalization.

Lemma 4.2. *For all $u \in L^2_a(\tilde{\mu}_n)$ and all $T \ge 1$ we have the estimate*

$$|u(z)|^2\, e^{-nQ_n(r_n z)} \le BT^{4k+2c'-2} |r_n z|^{-2q} r_n^{2c'}\, \|u\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{\mu}_n)}, \quad (|z| \le T). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Replace "$u(z)$" by "$z^q u(z)$" in Lemma 4.1. □

Now recall the canonical decomposition $Q_n = Q_0 + \operatorname{Re} H_n + Q_{1,n}$ and consider the Hermitian-entire function

$$L_n(z,w) = r_n^{-2c} k_n(z,w) e^{-\tilde{H}_n(z)/2-\tilde{H}_n(w)/2}, \quad (\tilde{H}_n(z) = nH_n(r_n z)). \tag{4.6}$$

Here k_n is the reproducing kernel for the space $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}_n$, cf. (4.1).

We claim that L_n is the reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_n$ of entire functions of the type

$$\mathscr{H}_n = \{f;\, f = p \cdot e^{-\tilde{H}_n/2}\,,\, p \in \mathrm{Pol}(n)\},$$

with the norm of $L^2(\mu_{0,n})$, where

$$d\mu_{0,n}(z) = e^{-Q_0(z) - nQ_{1,n}(r_n z) - 2c\log|z|}\, dA(z).$$

Indeed, for an element $f = p \cdot e^{-\tilde{H}_n/2} \in \mathscr{H}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(z)\bar{L}_n(z,w)d\mu_{0,n}(z) &= e^{-\tilde{H}_n(w)/2}\int p(z)\bar{k}_n(z,w)e^{-\tilde{V}_n(z)}\, dA(z)\\ &= f(w).\end{aligned}$$

We also notice that we have the following convergence, in the vague sense of measures,

$$\mu_{0,n} \to \mu_0, \quad (n \to \infty).$$

In the next theorem, we write

$$\begin{aligned}K_n(z,w) &= k_n(z,w)e^{-\tilde{V}_n(z)/2 - \tilde{V}_n(w)/2}\\ &= L_n(z,w)e^{-(Q_0(z) + nQ_{1,n}(r_n z) + Q_0(w) + nQ_{1,n}(r_n w))/2}|zw|^{-c}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Note that the rescaled one-point function R_n is just $R_n(z) = K_n(z,z)$.

Lemma 4.3. *The family $\{R_n\}$ satisfies the following bound, for all $T \geq 1$,*

$$R_n(z) \leq BT^{4k+2c'-2}|z|^{-2c'}, \qquad (|z| \leq T),$$

where B is an absolute constant and c' is the fractional part of c.

Proof. Since

$$k_n(z,z) = \sup\{|p(z)|^2\,;\, p \in \tilde{\mathscr{P}}_n,\, \|p\|_{L^2(\tilde{\mu}_n)} \leq 1\},$$

it follows from Lemma 4.2 that for all n and all $|z| \leq T$,

$$k_n(z,z) \leq BT^{4k+2c'-2}|r_n z|^{-2q} r_n^{2c'} e^{nQ_n(r_n z)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}L_n(z,z) &= r_n^{-2c}k_n(z,z)e^{-\operatorname{Re}\tilde{H}_n(z)}\\ &\leq BT^{4k+2c'-2}|z|^{-2q}e^{Q_0(z)+nQ_{1,n}(r_n z)}, \quad (|z| \leq T),\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The lemma follows by applying this estimate for $L_n(z,z)$ in the right hand side of the expression (4.7). □

Lemma 4.4. *The functions $\ell_n(z,w) = (z\bar{w})^q L_n(z,w)$ form a normal family of Hermitian-entire functions, where $-q$ is the integer part of c.*

Proof. The estimate (4.8) shows that the family $\ell_n(z,z)$ is locally uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{C}$. The lemma follows, since $|\ell_n(z,w)|^2 \leq \ell_n(z,z)\ell_n(w,w)$. □

We now come to our main result in this section, which, in particular, implies Theorem 2.

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a sequence of cocycles c_n such that each subsequence of $\{|z\bar{w}|^{c'}(c_nK_n)(z,w)\}_n$ has a further subsequence converging uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^2$ as $n\to\infty$. Moreover, each limit point K of the sequence $\{c_nK_n\}$ is of the form $K(z,w)=L(z,w)e^{-V_0(z)/2-V_0(w)/2}$ where $\ell(z,w)=(z\bar{w})^qL(z,w)$ is Hermitian-entire. If $R(z)=K(z,z)$ then the convergence $R_{n_k}\to R$ holds in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{C}$, and we have for all $T\geq 1$ the estimate*

$$R(z)\leq BT^{4k+2c'-2}|z|^{-2c'},\qquad (|z|\leq T). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. We define a function $E_n(z,w)$ by

$$E_n(z,w)=e^{n(H_n(\zeta)+\bar{H}_n(\eta)-Q_n(\zeta)-Q_n(\eta))/2},\quad (\zeta=r_nz,\quad \eta=r_nw),$$

so that $K_n(z,w)=(L_nE_n)(z,w)|zw|^{-c}$. Letting $c_n(z,w)$ be the cocycle

$$c_n(z,w)=e^{i\,\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{H}_n(z)-\tilde{H}_n(w))/2},$$

we have the following convergence

$$E_n(z,w)=c_n(z,w)\,e^{-Q_0(z)/2-Q_0(w)/2}(1+o(1)),\quad n\to\infty,$$

where $o(1)\to 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$.

By Lemma 4.4, the functions $\ell_n(z,w)=(z\bar{w})^qL_n(z,w)$ form a normal family. Hence each subsequence has a further subsequence (renamed ℓ_n) which converges locally uniformly to a Hermitian-entire function ℓ. We put $L(z,w)=(z\bar{w})^{-q}\ell(z,w)$.

We have shown that there are cocycles c_n such that

$$\begin{aligned}|z\bar{w}|^{c'}(c_nK_n)(z,w)&=|z\bar{w}|^q(c_nL_nE_n)(z,w)\\&\to|z\bar{w}|^qL(z,w)\,e^{-Q_0(z)/2-Q_0(w)/2}\end{aligned}$$

locally uniformly as $n\to\infty$. Write

$$K(z,w)=L(z,w)\,e^{-V_0(z)/2-V_0(w)/2}.$$

Let $R_n(z)=K_n(z,z)$ and $R(z)=K(z,z)$, and note that by Lemma 4.3, the family $\{R_n\}$ is locally uniformly integrable. This shows that the convergence $R_n\to R$ holds in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{C}$. Finally, the estimate (4.9) is clear from Lemma 4.3. □

4.2. **Positivity.** Theorem 4.5 has the following consequence.

Theorem 4.6. *Let L_0 be the Bergman kernel of $L^2_a(\mu_0)$ and let L be a limiting kernel in Lemma 4.5. Then L_0-L is a positive matrix.*

The assertion is that

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^N\alpha_j\bar{\alpha}_k(L_0-L)(z_j,z_k)\geq 0$$

for all choices of scalars $\alpha_j\in\mathbb{C}$ and all points $z_j\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.5 that $L = \lim L_n$ where L_n is the Bergman kernel of the space $L^2_a(\mu_{0,n})$. Since $\mu_{0,n} \to \mu_0$ vaguely, and since L_0 is the Bergman kernel of the space $L^2_a(\mu_0)$, we can now use Fatou's lemma as in [4] or [7] to conclude that L is the Bergman kernel of some semi-normed Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_*$ of entire functions, which is contractively embedded in $L^2_a(\mu_0)$. It hence follows as in [4, 7], using Aronszajn's theorem on differences of reproducing kernels in [8], that $L_0 - L$ is a positive matrix. □

Corollary 4.7. *Each micro-density R satisfies $R \le R_0$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.6, since $R(z) = L(z,z)e^{-V_0(z)}$ and $R_0(z) = L_0(z,z)e^{-V_0(z)}$. □

4.3. **The Bergman function as a micro-density.** Fix a positive definite homogeneous polynomial Q_0 and consider the n-dependent potential

$$V_n(\zeta) = Q_0(\zeta) + \frac{2c}{n}\log|\zeta|.$$

This is a very simple potential with trivial canonical decomposition, but it will serve our present purpose.

Consider again the holomorphic kernels L_n of (4.6). In the present case, this is the reproducing kernel for the space $\mathscr{H}_n$ of polynomials of degree $< n$ equipped with the norm of $L^2(\mu_0)$, where

$$d\mu_0(w) = e^{-V_0(w)}\,dA(w) = e^{-Q_0(w)-2c\log|w|}\,dA(w).$$

By Theorem 4.5, every subsequence of $\{L_n\}$ has a subsequence which converges locally uniformly to a Hermitian-analytic limit L. As the inclusions $\mathscr{H}_n \subset \mathscr{H}_{n+1}$ are isometric, the limit must be the same for all subsequences.

Now note that for all polynomials p and $z \neq 0$

$$p(z) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int p(w)L_n(z,w)\,d\mu_0(w) = \int p(w)L(z,w)\,d\mu_0(w).$$

Since polynomials are dense in $L^2_a(\mu_0)$, we have for all $f \in L^2_a(\mu_0)$ and $z \neq 0$

$$f(z) = \int f(w)L(z,w)\,d\mu_0(w).$$

It follows that $L = L_0$ on $\dot{\mathbb{C}}^2$ where L_0 is the Bergman kernel of $L^2_a(\mu_0)$.

We have shown the following result.

Theorem 4.8. *In the situation above, the Bergman function R_0 of the space $L^2_a(\mu_0)$ equals to the micro-density $R(z) = L(z,z)e^{-V_0(z)}$.*

References

[1] Aleman, A., Viola, J., *On weak and strong solution operators for evolution equations coming from quadratic operators*, Journal of Spectral Theory (to appear).

[2] Ameur, Y., *A density theorem for weighted Fekete sets*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (to appear) doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnw161.

[3] Ameur, Y., Kang, N.-G., *On a problem for Ward's equation with a Mittag-Leffler potential*, Bull. Sci. Math. **137** (2013), 968–975.

[4] Ameur, Y., Kang, N.-G., Makarov, N., *Rescaling Ward identities in the random normal matrix model*. Arxiv preprint, v4. 2015.
[5] Ameur, Y., Kang, N.-G., Makarov, N., Wennman, A., *Scaling limits of random normal matrix processes at singular boundary points*, Arxiv Preprint 2015.
[6] Ameur, Y., Kang, N.-G., Seo, S.-M., In preparation.
[7] Ameur, Y., Seo, S.-M., *On bulk singularities in the random normal matrix model*, Arxiv preprint 2016.
[8] Aronszajn, N., *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 337-404.
[9] Bauerschmidt, R., Bourgade, P., Nikula, M., Yau, H.-T., *The two-dimensional Coulomb plasma: quasi-free approximation and central limit theorem*, Arxiv 2016.
[10] Cho, H. R., Choe, B. R., Koo, H., *Fock-Sobolev spaces of fractional order*, Potential Anal **43** (2015), 199-240.
[11] Cho, H. R., Zhu, K., *Fock-Sobolev spaces and their Carleson measures*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 2483-2506.
[12] Dall'Ara, G. M., *Pointwise estimates of weighted Bergman kernels in several complex variables*, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1706-1740.
[13] Führ, H., Gröchenig, K., Haimi, A., Klotz, A., Romero, J. L., *Density of sampling and interpolation in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Arxiv 2016.
[14] Gorenflo, R., Kilbas, A. A., Mainardi, F., Rogosin, S. V., *Mittag-Leffler functions, related topics and applications*, Springer 2014.
[15] Hulin, D., Troyanov, M., *Prescribing curvature on open surfaces*, Math. Ann. **293** (1992), 277-315.
[16] Hörmander, L., *Notions of convexity*, Birkhäuser 1994.
[17] Kang, N.-G., Makarov, N., *Gaussian free field and conformal field theory*, Astérisque **353** (2013).
[18] Kang, N.-G., Makarov, N., In preparation.
[19] Laskin, M., Chiu, Y. H., Can, T., Wiegmann, P., *Emergent Conformal Symmetry of Quantum Hall States on Singular surfaces*, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to appear.)
[20] Lindholm, N., *Sampling of weighted L^p-spaces of entire functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and estimates of the Bergman kernel*, J. Funct. Anal. **182** (2001), 390-426.
[21] Marco, N., Massaneda, X., Ortega-Cerdà, J., *Interpolation and sampling sequences for entire functions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), 862–914.
[22] Marzo, J., Ortega-Cerdà, J., *Pointwise estimates for the Bergman kernel of the weighted Fock space*, J. Geom. Anal. **19** (2009), 809-910.
[23] Saff, E. B., Totik, V., *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Springer 1997.
[24] Zhu, K., *Analysis on Fock spaces*, Springer 2012.

Yacin Ameur, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Lund University, P.O. BOX 118, 221 00 Lund, Sweden
E-mail address: Yacin.Ameur@maths.lth.se

Seong-Mi Seo, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-747, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: ssm0112@snu.ac.kr